•.	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/676,843	RAGHAVAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
/	Michael Koczo, Jr.	3746
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>allo</u>	<u>owed</u>
(1) Michael Koczo, Jr.	(3)	
(2) <u>Harold H. Bennett II</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 17 December 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
21		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Examiner's Amendment. Corrected an inaccuracy in claim 21.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
		4.
n. C. J.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)